<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 16 and 19 have been amended to overcome the objection raised by the Examiner.

Claim 22 has been amended to provide an antecedent basis for the recitation of "rate" in Claim

25. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objections to Claims 16, 19 and 25 is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-26 have been rejected over certain claims recited in Applicant's Patent No. 6,631,925 on the basis of the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of certain secondary references (patents). Enclosed herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer executed by the Applicant to disclaim the terminal part of any patent maturing from the present application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent 6,631,925. In view of such Terminal Disclaimer, it is respectfully requested that the rejections of the Claims based upon the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting be withdrawn.

Formal drawings were filed of February 3, 2004. As PTO-948 dated 7/19/04 does not reflect review of these formal drawings, it is believed that the Draftsperson's comments relate to the informal sheets of drawings filed with the application. If this conclusion is not correct, it is requested that the Examiner call the undersigned at (602) 956-7000. Acceptance of the formal drawings filed earlier is respectfully requested.

In view of the amendments to the claims to overcome the objection based upon lack of antecedent basis, the filing of the Terminal Disclaimer and the submission of formal drawings,

111

///

it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CAHILL, von HELLENS & GLAZER P.L.C.

C. Robert von Hellens Reg. No. 25,714

155 Park One 2141 E. Highland Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Telephone (602) 956-7000